

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/745,289		ROMERO ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	BARBARA N. BURGESS		2457	

All Participants:

(1) BARBARA N. BURGESS.

(2) Chad Thorson.

Date of Interview: 1 July 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 13, 14, 17, 21, 26, 37, 38, 39, 50

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Appeal Brief

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: Morning

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative Chad Thorson to propose amendments and claim cancellations in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 1, 14, 17, 21, 26, 50 and their dependent claims. As well, Applicant agreed to amend claims 37-39 to avoid 101 issues and maintain consistency with claim 13. Authorization was given to Examiner to perform an Examiner's Amendment. The Examiner's Amendment is submitted herewith. .